

Anti-philosophy and its Manifest.

Antonio Palomo-Lamarca

University of Minnesota

Anti-philosophy is the art of feeling, the art of creativity. All what regular philosophy has been doing through brain, reason and experience, Anti-Philosophy does it through heart, spirit and personality. Anti-philosophy does not believe in anything but in itself. No God, no country, no parents. No idols either. Born from nothing and for the sake of everything. Anti-philosophy is not an ideology. It is a response to what philosophy has become: atheistic, analytic and logical! For philosophy logic and reason exist, they must give us to role and meaning of the world. Anti-philosophy does not have a logic, nether a reason. Both, reason and logic have been the mortal tools for working in the construction of the undecipherable puzzle: the interpretation of the phenomenological world. Anti-philosophy considers *noumena* and *phenoumena*, but we remove the logical component of it. Thus, logic is not an enemy. Anti-philosophy does not have "enemies", but only *barriers*. The difference is here: the enemy must be fought and overcome, barriers must be jumped! We keep going . . . Logic is a barrier that needs to be jumped. It is necessary that somebody at this time raise his/her voice telling to current philosophy what they do and what they have done. Anti-philosophy is talking aloud about it: **philosophy is dead!** We do not try to make enemies and to fight their philosophies and theories, but to consider them and to raise our voices. We understand those creating enemies are some huge symptoms of weakness, a spirited weakness product of ignorance. We don't have enemies, just barriers!

On the other hand, Anti-philosophy is not politics, not even ethics. Anti-philosophy does not want the political power. We have no king or kingdom! We believe in ourselves. Of course, Anti-philosophy is not a sect, is not a religion. In this sense, it is easier to define it in a negative way, I know what Anti-philosophy is not, but what really is it, that is the tricky question. The main purse of Anti-philosophy is to avoid the fundamentalism of analytic philosophy and science. Anti-philosophy thinks that current philosophy is rotten and it expired long time ago. Can you think of a "department of sorcery" in a current School of Medicine? Concepts like reality, experience, mind, soul, motion, etc., are words empties of meaning and significance. This is not an attempt to break the whole set of philosophical matters. That way in regular philosophy has been empty, non-productive, sterile and definitely boring. The matter of this fact is how people do not understand and does not like Philosophy, how Philosophy has become just a second-hand subject in universities. This has been thanked to the labor of analytic philosophy. The original aims of (Greek)-Philosophy was to help people in answering existential questions that normal religion could not apparently to solve. Nowadays, philosophy has become a pastime for neurotic and pathological shy persons without strong qualities for interpersonal relations. Physics has become the same . . . for same reason . . .¹. Hence, philosophy has become a non-wished daughter. Sadly, Philosophy has become a bastard daughter of science! The answer to why philosophy has become a dead matter and a bored subject must not be found within the area itself, but within the way *how* it has been taught. Anti-philosophy is not a wisdom, but a way of life. Anti-philosophy is not a teacher, but always a humble pupil! The relationship between student and professor must be revoked: this is a social fantasy created under a political system. The pupil and the teacher are the same . . . To teach Philosophy and its abject subjects must be an art. It is a *virtue*. It is a virtue like sculpting, painting, etc . . . Not everybody can paint as an artist, and not everybody can teach...as a Philosopher... Paideia is an art! In this sense, art has become too, a fake. It is now an abortive bunch of meat from the classical art. O, we can find many fakes who do art, modern art, making money and keeping a total ignorance about the original and essential meaning of *creativity*. Anti-philosophy is classic in many of its purposes, but not dogmatic. Thenceforth, Anti-philosophy sees philosophical education as currently taught, as an abortive

¹It is not the case of the Copenhagen School.

effect of the incompetency of the regular teacher, mostly a person without any artistic capacity within the arena of Philosophy. Can you think in a world where everybody had a museum with his/her own "art", and she/he charged from it? Therefore, those professors who get boring people with their explanations about Philosophy, those professors who boring students and those professors, who *does not have the capacity* of the art of teaching Philosophy, those professors by all means, they should leave that profession of teaching just for the sake of human beings. Can you think in a surgeon with the same qualities? Will you be willing to be put on his/her hands? Obviously, those professors lack of the sense of teaching and explaining, in the same sense that this author lacks of sculpting . . . If the author would sculpt, he will make an absurdity and he would construct a fraud to himself and to humanity. Due to people who do not care about this I am talking about, that is, the feelings of others, Philosophy has been *damaged*. Those professors have not taught the uses and abuses in Philosophy, and so Philosophy has become misconceived. It is sad but true. The hard task of Anti-philosophy is to understand deep and clearly the history of Philosophy and Science, and to connect them with spirituality and human feelings. Indeed, we need to keep ourselves away from any dogmatism and from any ideology. Our purpose and our goal are not to activate a revolution, it is more, we do not believe in revolutions: there is not either victor or defeated in this life! This is a mental delusion, which has been historically conceived as a proportional true. The supreme truth is that suffering exists. Anti-philosophy wishes to understand the nature of suffering and its direct relation with history and philosophy of science: human beings seek because our fear of death and suffering. From this feeling we anti-philosophers, pretend to grasp the role of Philosophy through history of human ideas and social concerns, and yet more important: the current role of philosophy.

Anti-philosophy has a conclusion: Philosophy has been dead since the times of Kant, being Kant the last of the Philosophers. Nowadays, Philosophy is a stinky corpse which is being artificially kept by a kind of apprentices called by them "analytical philosophers". In an amusing way, they are the "Norman Bates of the Philosophy" (Norman Bates: the psycho killer in the Hitchcock movie). Why that? Easy is the answer: Norman Bates killed his own mother(Philosophy), and after killing her, he *kept* the corpse(of Philosophy), and even after that he continued talking to it as if it were alive. *Psycho*(analytic philosophy) *has been born*. They, the so-called "philosophers," not only have killed the original way of philosophizing, but after it, they have masked the corpse and after it, they continue on talking to the corpse as alive.

Philosophy is dead!

The great enemy that certain "philosophers" have decided to construct is the science. In fact, Science and Philosophy since its origins have been the same thing! After Kant, what was the role of Science and that of Philosophy? Yes, here we go: Science was advancing and studying and investigating . . . Philosophy was still crying as a child because it wanted to find a response to the question of knowledge: how is knowledge possible? Well, I need to admit that to make this question is an absurd, but to spend the rest of our lives in order to answer it is a felony!! The *Critique of Pure reason* could have been explained just in two or three sheets!! Anti-philosophy does not want to annul Philosophy, but philosophy. Anti-philosophy wants to annul the rotten way of thinking and that of the productivity that analytical philosophy has grow. Yet, Anti-philosophy does not believe either in science or philosophy: the first tight and atheistic, the second, unproductive. Remark: we are NOT claiming a Christian God. Not at all! We do admit every religion as a way of living. We do not judge people because what they believe, but *how* they practice that they believe and if that practice is humble and for the sake of others. We are not judges.

This aim of Anti-philosophy is not an aim to destroy Philosophy, but to keep the attention about its current state. There is not necessity to kill or to destroy that which has already been destroyed and killed. It has been so since the last XVIIth century. Absolutely, Anti-philosophy cannot destroy something already vaporized. The corpse of Philosophy begun to stink in the last XIXth century, instead of burying it, a bunch of strange persons with strange feelings decided to take a long walk with it. Now, the result is that the odour is all over the world. Basically, Philosophy was replaced by Science, and the role of the philosopher *was blurredly* determined. The crux-word which could define all this "movie" is *-praxis*. We cannot resuscitate the old philosophical system, generally speaking it would be a great-mistake-to-try-to-do-it. Why? Because of many points in general Philosophy is a big nonsense, just gibberish. Words on words! It is a matter of fact that practical things posses more value than theoretical things. Actually, Science has always tried to keep the practical path, while Philosophy has stayed agonizing and disguising

its own subjects under ridiculous names like “philosophy of science” or “philosophy of language”. A stubborn try for resurrecting the “old Lady” . . . Philosophical inquiry has been trying to adapt itself to the interests of Science. Thus, Philosophy wanted to be more and more practical (analytical?). Additionally, this emphasis in practical meaning is not but only the *ghost* of the old Lady shrieking and marauding around Science. Remark: Anti-philosophy is not a passionate energy in support of Science, *which is considered by us as tight and selfish*. Anti-philosophy has spent many years slackening its heart, and recognizing this epitaph:

- **Philosophy is dead.**
- **Science is too tight and materialistic.**

Anti-philosophy understands life and its surroundings as absolutely practical. Theorists have nothing to do excepting to get bored to students. Precisely, the homicidal weapon that killed Philosophy was the metaphysics and its theory. Here, Anti-philosophy must and needs to point out this: metaphysical confrontation is the most dangerous and difficult item within the anti-philosophical approach. The fact of the matter is that Anti-philosophy is deeply metaphysical! But, at the same time it is deeply practical. But this does not means we do not assume metaphysics has historically been embraced, in many cases, in a wrong way. The psychoanalysis could be a good example of how the metaphysical system can be practical. Anti-philosophy has not the same goal as psychoanalysis, but it is a good pint to remark its importance within the human sciences. Although we have not religion or ideological bounds, Anti-philosophy embraces the Greek *kynism* (school of Cynical Philosophy), and Diogenes of Sinope as its first master and founder. Anti-philosophers understand that we do not aspire to freedom because of the simple reason we posses already liberty. On the other hand, Anti-philosophy presumes and recognizes its pagan beliefs and foundations, and its interdisciplinary character. This is our hidden sin: we are primitives! And we are proud of it. At this point it is necessary to say that Anti-philosophy is *per se* and *per natura* impermeable against any prejudice. Our goals also realize its strong feeling toward the Asian philosophy, specially Buddhism. This interest was born thanking to the anti-philosophical and interdisciplinary ground of it. Anti-philosophy’s tasks are a collective tasks . . . this is just the beginning. This author extends an invitation to every field of knowledge in order to orientate this goal as practical “means” and as future goal-oriented. This guide is an entangled point because it could be mixed and confused with materialism and atheism. Solutions will be suitable and extolled. Anti-philosophy proposes as a solution the inter-assistance between science and (anti)-philosophy. There is a pivotal relationship between metaphysics and anti-philosophy. First of all, Anti-philosophy thinks necessarily the total transformation of the current philosophy. No other direction is factual or pertinent: it would be to come back to failure, which philosophy has become to. From the last XVIII th century, Philosophy has been accumulating words and words. Let’s face it! The XVIIIth century was a crucible of theories, experiments and metaphysics in a response to the *effectiveness* way of the vulgar philosophy. In no way, metaphysics did help to humanity. *Did Science*. Grosso modo, from antiquity to XVIII th century Science and Philosophy were the same thing. Afterwards, it had a tragic separation. On the other side, we can notice that there are some factions within Philosophies that already were different from the traditional Philosophy, for instance dynamics during the middle ages. Here, we can see how an experimental and practical means is taken aside of the metaphysical view, but reading the Aristotelian corpus though. Yet, the definitive and biggest differentiation will be exposed during the Enlightenment. Anti-philosophy thinks that neither Philosophy or Science has been benefit from such a crack. The philosophical ideas turned aside of praxis, and they were converted into a hard stream of theorists, mainly metaphysicists playing with language. Science, then, chose to hold the practical side of the old Lady. The death sentence for Philosophy had already been dictated. But, it wasn’t Science who did it, but Philosophy who gave it. Philosophy had been betrayed by its own disciples and comrades, and these decided its crucifixion in order to save their pride and snobism. *Philosophy was crucified!* After the murder, these “philosophers” picked up the corpse, and they changed its clothes. The old Lady had new dress, and the new dress was called “potivism”. Henceforth, these “philosophers-amateurs” dedicated their lives to prowling the universities, with the corpse of the old Lady, disseminating the stench of his late. The disgusting scents were not metaphysics per se or even positivism, but the preposterous theories and non-senses were cunningly created and disguised as working for the science. Obviously, science was not available to admit such quantities of fallacies and gibberish. The reason had spotlessly been a total lack of *praxis and objectivity*. One of the most arduous problems was to challenge the biblical

component, and from this going into a deeper level of the world interpretation. Yet, Science did not want to lose its internal perspective: to be a scientist and a believer. People like Newton. Galileo was religious persons but without following any dogma. Excepting La Mettrie or D'Holbach, the strongest materialism applied to Science begun with the Leninist environment. The irony is Lenin's works have a curious rate of Truth! His itch was how to handle the relationship between faith and social apathy. In a nutshell, Marx clarified this point when people are sleeping under a sea of religious faith, these people are characterized by a strong *social apathy* toward their own misery, doing nothing for improving themselves. Whereas the celestial kingdom was expected, the "sacred" name of Revolution would be negated. The tyranny of the atom had been interpreted under the tyranny of materialism. Undoubtedly, for Lenin an atom was a little-chunky piece of matter. Science was going to face a mask: atheism. Indeed, Science without the seed of materialism had been a big piece of nothing, even worse than philosophy today. The fever starts when the illness of dogmatism is looming up! There is not any difference, dogmatic difference, if one says "Jesus is going to save me", or "Marx is going to save me". Dogmatism is dogmatism, in that moment it is planted and it per itself grows and grows: don't worry about it, it is a crop of dry!

Another of the most scheming problems of current philosophy is that I call *exhaustion*. What is this? By *exhaustion* I understand the bad and wrong use of the sources of Philosophy, which has bestially been raped even after dead. Evidently, this *exhaustion* has been a product of a necrophysical abuse. Philosophy has been misused. Current philosophy has been involved in a misuse. First of all, the use of the old Lady is not only a big fake and a huge swindle, but also a great shame that is dishonoring the Art and Love, the Greatness and Majesty of the Old Greek purpose for the wisdom. Anti-philosophy owes respect to the old Greece. Anti-philosophy has seen enough evidences as to believe than current philosophy is *exhausted*: it has nothing to do. It is over. The *exhaustion* results from the pride, and wrong uses of the philosophical stream. Current "philosophers" criticize the traditional Philosophy while they are *raping* her. Our posture is all was necessary to say, it was already said by the old Lady. Nowadays, "philosophers" do nothing else than repeating that what already said: interpretations over interpretations, interpretations on another interpretation. This is not very useful ... I guess ... Everything was already explained and exposed. It is clear!